

DEFAMATION SCANDAL

(simplified file of archive #1 > Parlo)

Table of contents

Disclaimer:	5
EU Charter of Fundamental Rights:	6
Introduction (simplified version of a major document taken from the archive)	8
Relevant individual committing intentional defamation*	8
The Discord Server	9
Allowing Nathorix / Nattie in the server	9
Provided images from the Discord Server	10
How the server looks like	11
How I got defamed & by who	11
Sydiced explained & the cover up	12
Nyft explained	12
The Influential Manipulation Strategy	13
Beginning phase	13
Getting out of context footage	13
The publication	13
4. The final video	14
Sebmotions crisis	15
Why it actually works	16
The screenshot that caused all the problems	17
DISCLAIMER	17
What exactly happened	18
THE ORIGINAL SCREENSHOT	19
PARLO'S USE OF AI CHATBOTS TO INACCURATELY DEFINE CP	20
Using ChatGPT against Parlo	21
Extremely limited research by Parlo	22
Indecent exposure	23
Describing pornography in two common types	24
How laws define the illegality of CP	25
United Kingdom:	25
United States:	26
Belgium:	27
False claims about commercializing	28
Debunking accused hypocrisy	29
Parlo's disputed political views on Ukraine	29
Parlo's Hitler jokes & KKK "joke" drawing	30
Inflammatory statements by me	31
Inappropriate and offensive behavior by Parlo (part 2 has a separate section)	32
TwoMad Situation	34
The video	34
The title, thumbnail and the description	34
The lack of evidence	35
Proving that the video was known not to be reliable enough, but rather as an introduction	35
The Roblox Groomer Corporation	37
Controversy behind the video	37
Cutting the scene from the video	38
The Discussion	38
The Decision	39
Parlo still uses this as exposing material	40
Determining whether Parlo's reputation was damaged or not	40

How Parlo is a bigger threat to minors	41
Parlo does not have self control	41
The two excuses to get away with it	41
Self Harm / Gore / Suicidal messages	42
Parlo helping dupe	42
Traumatizing with self harm	42
Parlo and his mental breakdowns	43
Pedophilic “jokes” & potentially sexualizing minors	44
Parlo will say that these are dark and edgy jokes	45
What would happen if you report it	46
The Valentines Day Incident	47
Parlo’s powerless apology to Dupe	48
Parlo’s impulsive false accusation against Dupe	48
Parlo will always call me a liar	49
The aftermath	50
Current situation	50
Final conclusion	50
The result of being defamed:	51
Legally identifying Parlo:	63
Parlo’s Femboy Identity	63
Parlo’s Name	64

Disclaimer:

- 1. This document is meant for mature audiences only with the age recommendation of at least 16 years or older. If you are below that age, then the document may not be appropriate enough and too complicated to read.**
- 2. Any provided sources that are shown in the simplified version of this document, such as photographic or text footage that identify the person, or needed to support the context in any way are legally taken from the person themselves who has published them on their YouTube Channel, Reddit and other platforms. This if a future case needs to be opened (inter)nationally and to avoid being accused of doxxing. Identifying sources will be provided at the end of the document.**

Article 11 corresponds to Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights, which reads as follows:

"1. Everyone has the right to freedom of expression. This right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers. This article shall not prevent States from requiring the licensing of broadcasting, television or cinema enterprises.

2. The exercise of these freedoms, since it carries with it duties and responsibilities, may be subject to such formalities, conditions, restrictions or penalties as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society, in the interests of national security, territorial integrity or public safety, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, for the protection of the reputation or rights of others, for preventing the disclosure of information received in confidence², or for maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary."

¹ <https://fra.europa.eu/en/eu-charter/article/11-freedom-expression-and-information>

² Referencing to disclaimer 2, page 1

Introduction

(simplified version of a major document taken from the archive)

Relevant individual committing intentional defamation*

*According to Article 443 of the Belgian Criminal Code, he who maliciously accuses a person of a certain fact, likely to injure his honour or expose him to public contempt, and for which there is no legal proof, is guilty of defamation.³

**The Defamation Act 2013 (c 26) is an Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, which reformed English defamation law on issues of the right to freedom of expression and the protection of reputation. It also comprised a response to perceptions that the law as it stood was giving rise to libel tourism and other inappropriate claims. (Requirement of serious harm: "A statement is not defamatory unless its publication has caused or is likely to cause serious harm to the reputation of the claimant".)⁴

Article 19 of the Belgian Constitution safeguards the freedom of expressing one's opinion. Second, Article 25 of the Belgian Constitution explicitly protects the freedom of the written press and prohibits censorship of it. An extra protection to the freedom of expression under Belgian law is the Act of 7 April 2005 on the protection of journalistic sources. In 2006 the Constitutional Court broadened the scope of application of this Act to also include "everyone who delivers a direct contribution to collecting, producing, editing and distributing information to the public via a medium". Hence, also freelancers and regular "bloggers" are now protected under that Act.⁵

Tobias O'Keefe, best known as "Parlo" or "Cammy" is a highly controversial Roblox YouTuber who is known for defaming people, manipulatively influencing his audience, and traumatizing his fans and friends with extreme mental problems he cannot self control.

For more than 4 years, he has been a serious threat to himself, his parents and to his own public community/audience. More information explaining why will be mentioned too in case of a national investigation in the United Kingdom.




³ <https://advo-recht.be/kennisbank/strafrecht/misdrijven/laster-en-eerroof/>

⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Defamation_Act_2013
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/26/contents/enacted>

⁵ <https://www.carter-ruck.com/law-guides/defamation-and-privacy-law-in-belgium/>

The Discord Server

On February 27 2024, at 11:29 PM CET, I was invited to a controversial Discord Server named "Roblox Shelter". The server is made by opidas* with the purpose to cause and spread drama and almost only talk about that. It was nuked once proving that the moderation and the group running the server are at a very unstable state.



Before the server was nuked, it had well known members such as Parlo, Sydiced and Nathorix / Nattie. At the moment of writing this document, Sydiced is currently absent and isn't a member due to an organized raid by Nyft to destroy it. When it was remade, some of the members rejoined including Parlo, who got the highest ranked role defining him as one of the owners, and Nathorix / Nattie who recently became an admin / mod, a lower rank than Parlo.

Allowing Nathorix / Nattie in the server

While it might not be as relevant as what this document is supposed to be, I still feel like mentioning this.

Nathorix, also known as Nattie Forsyth, is a member of the server where Parlo is together with opidas*, the highest ranked member. Nattie is a person who got exposed by many people with convincing proof that he is a pedophile⁶.

Because Parlo is de-facto defined as one of the owners of this server, having the highest ranked role with all permissions, [it is his, and other people's responsibility to make sure there are no people with extremely malicious allegations](#)⁷ on the server and keep it safe for everyone.

There are also allegations that Parlo still defends Nathorix until he responds, as he said himself in his Discord Server. [This means that he refuses to say that Nathorix is a pedophile despite a lot of convincing proof](#) which can be seen as in defense to Nathorix, and also denying that Nattie is a confirmed pedophile in his [Homotopia response video at timestamp 40:02](#).

⁶ Information about Nattie:

The Dark Reality of Nathorix:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AWhwIHKQq50>


Nattie Forsyth: The Biggest Creep On YouTube That Got Away With It:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FLVwMSVw3IM>


⁷ Allegations are not the same as defamation. When speaking of defamation, a person is being directly exposed with no legal evidence provided with the intent to harm someone's reputation. Allegations do contain proof, and if not enough, then it depends on the person's background and previously known actions to decide whether a person is guilty or not. Please read how law describes defamation in the UK/BE [here](#).

Provided images from the Discord Server



Parlo's position in the server:
(1st in command = highest ranked)




Nathorix's position
(Commandant = admin/mod, lower ranked)



Nathorix's position in the server + image of the ping



How the server looks like



HTML version of the server (last updated 02-03-2024)⁸

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IFAf4moCLVTcGE_OHTtqHarfk_PN0I5p/view?usp=sharing

⁸ This is not a leak, because the server is public for anyone to join. It is shared to give a view on how the remade server looks like if it ever gets deleted, or if you don't wish to join it. This HTML file can be opened with any browser.

How I got defamed & by who

This section of how I got defamed will be divided into several parts and pages explaining everyone's background and exactly how they did it.

February 27, the same date I joined the server, I got defamed with a well organized defamation plan. Organized by Parlo, and helped by Sydiced and Nyft.

Sydiced explained & the cover up

Sydiced is known for being a mass doxxer endangering many YouTubers and other people. A lot of serious allegations such as making AI generated CP, and more confirmed evidence are available in the archives in case of a lawsuit against him when he's 18+ or against his parents. His reputation was unjustifiably rebuilt after he sided with Parlo, who helped Sydiced to to cover it all up.

Nyft explained

Nyft is a 24 year old man, way above the age of 18, and still involved in a Roblox community.⁹ He admitted himself that [he framed two minors by faking the evidence to make It look like there were two people sending CP.](#)




⁹ Involvement in a community of people that are much younger on average depends on what your position is in this community. Knowing the malicious intentions of this person, makes things only worse looking at the older age.

The Influential Manipulation Strategy

The influential manipulation strategy is an almost unnoticeable (illegal) process that allows popular YouTubers like Parlo, and influencers to manipulate their audience and community by framing and defaming people for their own profit and entertainment. Not only defaming and framing can be linked to this strategy, but also massively taking things out of context and overdramatizing it to make it look like the targeted person looks more dangerous or evil than they are in reality.


Beginning phase

1. When something happens that can be maliciously taken out of context, Parlo and his group quickly gather up to organize a plan to heavily dramatize an event that occurred.



Getting out of context footage

2. What is noticeable is that the screenshots providing the so-called evidence are lowered in picture quality which can be seen on the right side of this page. From my experience, people do this to make it look more real and convincing that this is a 'leaked' image and not edited, yet unclear what was actually sent causing serious defamation by describing the sent picture according to their own thoughts. This will be addressed in the next sections.



The publication

3. Once enough footage is taken and censored with a red marker to make it look like I actually sent CP, the friends who help and participate in the plan, in this case [Sydiced](#) and [Nyft](#), go to Twitter and send the tweets.

*"don't ask why ThatBoredGamer posted a video of a 12 year old girl posing in a bikini" - Nyft [12:04 a.m](#)
"want to explain why you just posted CP on discord. Bro pulled a gwamgo" - Sydiced [12:11 a.m.](#)*

Tweets like these make it sound like there was sent CP intentionally with malicious intents. It's not even the end phase and you already see that it is clearly organized by Parlo, sent less than an hour after the fuss happened in a server that Sydiced quickly joined together with Nattie¹⁰.

¹⁰ It is not known who invited Nattie, but he joined quickly after what happened, despite the claim that he and Sydiced were members already.




4. The final video

Now that there is unclear footage and a well organized plan, a video gets uploaded by the most popular YouTuber to spread the news and make the person look as evil as possible to telling his viewers that I am a 'uncensored CP poster', indirectly convincing a lot of viewers to call me a pedophile and a groomer.

An accusation by his viewers that was denied by Parlo many hours later, which is too late now because of how easily the viewers got manipulated by the out of context taken story in the first hours that it got published, when there was no disclaimer.¹¹

Parlo takes no responsibility for the aftermath after he manipulated his viewers and edits the pinned comment hours later and sends Discord messages stating that I am not a pedophile, but before the comment was edited, there was no disclaimer provided in the comment, description, or the video that I am not a pedophile or a groomer. Generally, when a person actually distributes CPography, you will immediately assume that who did it is a pedophile or a groomer.







This already happened before, where he edits the text after a certain amount of time to escape and avoid the fact that he did not always inform his audience well. Even if he includes the disclaimer later, thousands of viewers have already watched it before and misunderstood the vague context.



¹¹ There will be provided screenshots proving that I was massively attacked by people who accused me of being a pedophile and a CP poster, coming mainly from Parlo's traffic.

Sebmotions crisis

A similar incident happened with Sebmotions when he was massively accused of being a groomer/pedophile by Parlo's viewers and friends, and even himself because of the way Parlo took a lot of statements and footage extremely out of context. It took him months to finally agree with Sebmotions, but with strict demands in Parlo's favor to avoid revealing that he did not inform the viewers well on the Seb case, since it would be humiliating for him to admit publicly that he was wrong. Despite the agreement, the damage remains as Parlo never made a public statement on YouTube, and instead just privated the video. It may be privated, but the damage remains with Seb being traumatized and can legally be considered as a victim of defamation too on a much bigger scale than me.



Why it actually works

Once an influencer or a YouTuber becomes very popular and builds an audience, in today's generation, anything the YouTuber says to his viewers will be interpreted as always 'factually correct' no matter what they say or how extremely the context is removed from what really happened. Hypothetically, if Parlo made a video saying that 'Hazem is exposed for X and that it's horrible', the fans will take a quick look at the video and immediately think that he is an evil person that should be hated, resulting viewers to add their own accusations because of the YouTuber's poor wording and not giving manually written disclaimers the moment it is published.


I, as a YouTuber on YouTube, published videos and tweets on Twitter criticizing and mocking Parlo with satirical symbolism too, with a lot of viewers watching it. But the difference is that I provided actual footage and strong reasons why he should not be trusted and does not have the best intentions to be worthy of having an active platform, but nothing is worse than accusing someone of sending CPography, even when I said once that it is no longer unethical to accuse him of grooming or pedophilic intentions. I will explain this in the section where I show that Parlo could be a bigger threat to minors.

His only defense would be showing his overused disclaimer that is applied to a lot of his videos to make sure he takes no responsibility for the aftermath, of what his subscribers and fans could do to attack the relevant person in the video for falsified statements, in this case falsely exposing me for posting CP.

People would call me a hypocrite if I encouraged people to hate Parlo, but at least I had very good reasons and insanely strong arguments + actual footage (that I will also include) , that he is mentally insane and does not deserve to be recognized as the "Hero of Roblox YouTube", in a manner of speaking.

DISCLAIMER: The video was made purely made for educational purposes on the given situation and in no way encouraged harassment to this individual. This video, is informative and establishes context and an educational analysis into the situation and drama in hand.

A good example would be this. Yes, the so-called "UTTP" group is undeniably a horrible group of extremely annoying people who have actual malicious ideologies and intentions. But what is so typical for Parlo is to always include a disclaimer that it is not intended for hate, while the text above clearly encourages people to hate the UTTP by liking and subscribing (which doesn't help to get rid of them either, asking people to subscribe if they hate them only helps Parlo to grow his channel)



The screenshot that caused all the problems

Parlo has aggressively defamed me for only one screenshot that caused all the problems, and I am here to prove that it is legally not defined as publishing CPography.

DISCLAIMER

Starting from this section, I would like to state that this is purely meant for educational purposes only and does NOT glorify, support, or encourage in any way the distribution of illegal material. The section complies perfectly with the (privacy) law and [Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights](#).

What exactly happened

(if you quickly went to this part without reading the other sections, please read the part about the [origin](#) of the message)


Now that you know about the Discord Server, it is important to actually know the truth behind the story that they massively took out of context. It took me very long to think of what happened and I am ready to share my experience. They will call me a liar regardless of how I share my experience and story, because they rely on one screenshot only to keep the drama alive, so they have to add more things to “expose” me and their most powerful weaponized word is for them “lies” since that’s literally how they named their rushed and not well structured document.

When I joined the server, I quickly noticed that the fact that Parlo was in it wasn't some joke, like I thought in the beginning. Even though he was in it, it was my decision to talk in it with a few people anyway.

Later in the chat, a YouTube channel was mentioned called “XDFURRY”. It is a YouTube channel, most likely owned by Yaro that was made against Parlo where someone published a few videos including a video that shows Parlo's house, which is a violation of privacy since Parlo did not publicly share his physical address online¹², except for his full name and photos.¹³

One more video that was also published, is a video of an alleged 12 year old girl with the video title “12 year old acting hot in bikini”. It is supposed to be a leaked video of a girl in a bikini.


When I said that there is no proof that the girl is 12, that was at the beginning of the fuss, I did not see the screenshots below until I was informed by Parlo's video. This makes the claim that I lied invalid.



Just as always they come up with a story to make sure that it looks like I should've been aware that there was a video of a girl in a bikini when "Parlo started talking about it after he sent the warning". Parlo replied to me warning that there is 'CP' and his dox being posted.

Before he sent this, someone else sent a screenshot showing the channel/name, and people already discussed how there are a lot of malicious people attacking Parlo with extremely inappropriate content. What I misunderstood is that I assumed it was taken down already, so after the screenshot got deleted, I went on YouTube to search it up and double check if it still exists to make sure it's gone, otherwise I would've reported it. I condemned the channel and supported taking it down after I saw a video that contains a picture of Parlo's house, deciding that it should not be allowed.

Taken from Parlo's document



¹² The physical address and other information that are not published by himself and should remain protected.

¹³ The source that his name and photos belong to the public information will prove that the document is protected for the freedom of expression under [Article 25 of the Belgian Constitution, that explicitly protects the freedom of the written press and prohibits censorship of it.](#)

THE ORIGINAL SCREENSHOT


This is the original screenshot that I took to react to it and condemn the channel. When looking it up and finding it, I wanted to discuss it and how the channel should get taken down. So, I impulsively took a quick screenshot, and censored Parlo's house with fat red lines without paying attention to the video that was displayed below. I sent it to the general chat where they continued talking about it and I wanted to join the conversation.

In the beginning, I did not realize that the screenshot includes a display of a video that should obviously not be on YouTube because it glorifies the sexualization of minors.

I only noticed when a lot of people reacted shocked thinking I sent CP.¹⁴

Parlo took everything heavily out of context, and that is that [he made it sound like I sent uncensored CP](#), which clearly shows that he did have an instant plan to manipulatively release falsified information to defame me and ruin my reputation using the [Influential Manipulation Strategy](#).

I took a lot of time and extremely detailed research with friends and YouTubers to determine whether it was actual CP or not and I have powerful evidence that, although the channel does endorse sexualizing minors that I did not spread actual CP.



Illustrative and educational purposes only. No endorsement involved. **This is the original screenshot that was taken quickly, with the face censored.**

Parlo will continue fighting and saying that I was aware, no matter what because his sociopathy does not allow him mentally to realize that he made an enormous mistake, something he could even get sued for by someone.

The next pages will accurately define the term 'pornography', how it can be divided in two types, and explain how CP legally falls under the term 'pornography'.

¹⁴ When it was sent and people reacted to it shocked, I was jumpscared and immediately deleted the message, thinking I leaked Parlo's house by improperly censoring it, then I looked at the picture again to check if I censored it well, and noticed that the screenshot includes a display of the video that actually caused all the controversy.



ChatGPT

While I can provide general information, legal interpretations can vary and are best addressed by legal professionals familiar with Belgian law. However, in many jurisdictions, including Belgium, the depiction of a minor in a sexually suggestive manner can be considered child pornography, especially if it is intended to arouse or appeal to sexual interests. Using terms like "sexy" and "hot" to describe a minor in a sexualized context could potentially violate child pornography laws. It's important to consult with legal experts for specific guidance on such matters.



PARLO'S USE OF AI CHATBOTS TO INACCURATELY DEFINE CP

It has come to my attention that Parlo and his friends shamelessly use AI chat bots to support his false claim that I sent 'uncensored CP'. It is known that AI bots, while being advanced in how they respond, still can be inaccurate because of how it uses ChatGPT 3.5, a free version that has not been updated since January 2022 and only gives limited knowledge through chat prompts that do not tell the entire story behind what happened, unlike what this document does, by a human.

is a 12 year old posting sexually in a bikini in a video sexualising them considered child porn under belgium law



In Belgium, the law considers any material that sexualizes or exploits children under the age of 18 to be child pornography. Therefore, a 12-year-old posting videos of themselves in sexually suggestive poses or wearing revealing clothing like a bikini could be considered child pornography under Belgian law.

What makes the entire situation even funnier, is that the bot itself admits that it strives to provide accurate and relevant responses, but that if ChatGPT does only have a limited part, that its responses may be influenced by that limited information.

ChatGPT is a great chatbot that is useful in a lot of things, but it is extremely irresponsible to rely heavily on a chatbot that does not know the law in detail due to the mistakes it can make.

ChatGPT 3.5 ↑

You

What is your latest version and year? And do you always give accurate answers, or is it hard for you to understand the context if I would tell only a limited part of the story?


ChatGPT

As of my last update in January 2022, I am based on the GPT-3.5 architecture. While I strive to provide accurate and relevant responses based on the information provided, my understanding is limited to the text input I receive. If you provide only a limited part of the story or context, my responses may be influenced by that limited information. However, I will do my best to generate helpful and coherent responses based on the input I receive.

Message ChatGPT... ↑

ChatGPT can make mistakes. Consider checking important information. ?

Using ChatGPT against Parlo



You

hey chatgpt! i have a question. bored (a person) posted on discord a screenshot from someones channel whos a bad person, which there was 3 4 videos slandering someone, but there was another video in small thumbnail that the person was in bikini whos 12 years old. but the problem is that when he accidentally sent screenshot without to know there was a bad video as how i described, parlo who is having 350k subs is blaming bored for posting cp (say cp, not chi## #### to prevent deleting message due violating chatgpt rules) when in reality posted a screenshot from a bad person saying "wtf is this channel" on discord and parlo is blaming on my friend. whos the wrong? parlo or my bored? is parlo falsely accusing bored?

ChatGPT

In the scenario you described, Parlo is falsely accusing Bored. Bored shared a screenshot from a channel they found concerning, which included videos slandering someone. Bored did not intentionally include any inappropriate content, as they were unaware of the presence of such content in the screenshot.

Parlo's accusation that Bored posted inappropriate content (CP) is unfounded and potentially damaging. Accusations of this nature can have serious legal and reputational consequences. Parlo should gather all the facts before making such serious allegations.

Bored, on the other hand, may have unintentionally exposed inappropriate content by sharing the screenshot. However, since they were unaware of the content's presence, their actions were not malicious or deliberate.

In conclusion, Parlo is in the wrong for falsely accusing Bored. They should retract their statement and apologize to Bored for the misunderstanding. It's essential to handle such situations carefully and responsibly to avoid causing further harm.

📄 ↻ 🗨

Take a look at this prompt and the response. As you can see, when giving a much more detailed chat prompt. It gives a longer response, but this time it gives an entirely different text saying that Parlo's accusations of me sending CP is unfounded and potentially damaging, resulting in serious legal and reputational consequences, saying that Parlo is in the wrong for falsely accusing me.


This proves that the chat bot is not reliable and that it heavily depends on the type of prompt you type in.

Extremely limited research by Parlo

So far in the document, it is known that Parlo researched very badly and barely took effort to look at what defines actual CPography.

The non-chatbot definition is provided by Parlo with a screenshot and marked yellow “for primary sexual purposes”.

What he most likely didn't notice, is that the first text says that Child Focus, which is an official organization in Belgium, tries to prevent footage that contains child sexual abuse as defined in [Article 417/43 of the Criminal Code](#).



According to Wikipedia, child sexual abuse is a form of child abuse¹⁵ where an adult or older adolescent uses a child for sexual stimulation such as engaging in sexual activities with a child or [indecent exposure](#).

The blue marked term “**indecent exposure**” is very important to continue defining what actual CPography is.

¹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Child_sexual_abuse

Indecent exposure

According to Wikipedia, indecent exposure¹⁶ is the public exposure by a person's certain body parts such as the genital area, buttocks or breasts.

Indecent exposure

16 languages

Article Talk

Read Edit View history Tools

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For the album, see *Indecent Exposure (album)*. For the novel, see *Indecent Exposure (novel)*.
Not to be confused with *Exhibitionism*.

This article **needs additional citations for verification**. Please help [improve this article](#) by [adding citations to reliable sources](#). Unsourced material may be challenged and removed.
Find sources: "Indecent exposure" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR (November 2020) [\(Learn how and when to remove this template message\)](#)

Indecent exposure is the deliberate public exposure by a person of a portion of their body in a manner contrary to local standards of appropriate behavior. Laws and social attitudes regarding indecent exposure vary significantly in different countries. It ranges from outright prohibition of the exposure of any body parts other than the hands or face to prohibition of exposure of certain body parts, **such as the genital area, buttocks or breasts**.

Decency is generally judged by the standards of the local community, which are seldom codified in specifics in law. Such standards may be based on religion, morality or tradition, or justified on the basis of "necessary to public order".^[1] Non-sexual **exhibitionism** or **public nudity** is sometimes considered indecent exposure. If **sexual acts** are performed, with or without an element of nudity, this can be considered **gross indecency** in some jurisdictions, which is usually a more serious criminal offence.^[a] In some countries, exposure of the body in breach of community standards of **modesty** is also considered to be public indecency.

The legal and community standards of what states of undress constitute indecent exposure vary considerably and depend on the context in which the exposure takes place. These standards have also varied over time, making the definition of indecent exposure a complex topic.



Streaking is a type of indecent exposure.

WITHOUT CLOTHING




NUDITY

Indecent exposure is most certainly always relevant to nudity. The screenshot that was sent contained a still, non-moving thumbnail at the bottom left corner where you can see a person wearing a bikini, not posing sexually suggestively. **BUT, there is context needed to avoid being accused of denial.**

→ If the moving video embed was sent specifically to the server, then that would mean that you could open it and see the girl posing and rotating showing her buttocks in the bikini¹⁷, which never happened, and no one in the server actually opened the video since it was a screenshot that unintentionally revealed the girl in her bikini standing still in the image.

What I'm dealing with all the time now is that people accuse me falsely of sending actual CP, that could mean anything to them thanks to Parlo and his influential manipulation strategy taking things out of context.




¹⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indecent_exposure

¹⁷ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buttocks>

Describing pornography in two common types

The two types of pornography are the same as what CPography can be defined as which is illegal.



With this, I am proving three things:

1. The video embed was never sent. Otherwise you would be able to play the video and see the girl rotating and showing most of her body in the bikini. I did not have malicious intentions and would never send the vid.
2. The claim that there were little to no clothes is meant to dramatize the context making it almost sound like the screenshot included a nude picture, which is heavily debunked by showing the original screenshot, where you clearly see that she wears a bikini instead of underwear, and nudity is not visible.
3. The original video and the video's title shows that it is indeed meant to sexualize the person, which I strongly condemn and absolutely do not support, although the event that occurred cannot be legally defined as intentionally distributing CP.

Taken from Parlo's document:

Now the account had posted a video of a 12 year old girl in a bikini, with the obvious intent and context behind such media being to sexualise the child. The child present in the thumbnail was also doing a sexual side profile, and was wearing **little to no clothes**, about as revealing as underwear. The background context to this, was also that this content was leaked by Jasonbuilds, a degenerate who has inappropriate content of minors, and had leaked videos of this 12 year old as a form of revenge porn. They also admitted they has posted an uncensored full nude version of this 12 year old, however YouTube had taken it down.

How laws define the illegality of CP

94 of 187 Interpol member states have laws specifically addressing CP as of 2008. This does not include nations that ban 18+ pornography completely. This means that, although laws describe it differently and punish violators differently too, that most of the Interpol countries took the same, or almost similar system to make sure that real CP is fully illegal.

United Kingdom:

In the UK how is child pornography defined?

UK law defines child pornography as indecent images of children under the age of 18. Such images do not have to show the subject being sexually assaulted or abused. **If a child is naked and the image is primarily concentrating on their genitals or involves posing, this can be classified as indecent.**

What makes an image indecent?

Indecency is an issue for the Court to decide in accordance with established standards of propriety. It is an objective test for the jury and the motive of the picture taker or person viewing is irrelevant. Indecent images are prohibited by law. For an image to be prohibited, three elements would normally be present:

1. The image will be pornographic or **sexually provocative**
2. The image will be grossly offensive or obscene
3. The image may focus solely or primarily on the subject's genitals or anal region

Still, unintentionally sent image containing the thumbnail in the bottom left corner, which cannot be defined as sexually provocative unless the full video embed was shown. Title doesn't count as it was shown in Parlo's video too and is no endorsement.

What are the most serious types of indecent image according to UK law?

The **most serious indecent images of children** will routinely include the following acts:

1. A penetrative act of a child's anus or vagina with either a body part or other object.
2. The performance of a sexual act, intercourse or oral, with or in the presence of a child.
3. A sexual act such as masturbation involving a child or in the presence of a child.
4. A penetrative act performed in the presence of a child.
5. An act of penetrative or oral intercourse, performed by a child on an animal.
6. An act of penetrative or oral intercourse, performed on an animal in the presence of a child.



United States:

Citizen's Guide to U.S.
Federal Child
Exploitation Laws

Child Pornography

Child Sex Trafficking

Child Sexual Abuse

Child Support
Enforcement

Extraterritorial Sexual
Exploitation of Children

Obscenity

Sex Offender
Registration

Citizen's Guide To U.S. Federal Law On Child Pornography

Share >

18 U.S.C. § 2251- Sexual Exploitation of Children
(Production of child pornography)
18 U.S.C. § 2251A- Selling and Buying of Children
18 U.S.C. § 2252- Certain activities relating to material involving the sexual exploitation
of minors
(Possession, distribution and receipt of child pornography)
18 U.S.C. § 2252A- certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child
pornography
18 U.S.C. § 2256- Definitions
18 U.S.C. § 2260- Production of sexually explicit depictions of a minor for importation
into the United States

Images of child pornography are not protected under First Amendment rights, and are illegal contraband under federal law. Section 2256 of Title 18, United States Code, defines child pornography as any visual depiction of **sexually explicit conduct** involving a minor (someone under 18 years of age). Visual depictions include photographs, videos, digital or computer generated images indistinguishable from an actual minor, and images created, adapted, or modified, but appear **to depict an identifiable, actual minor**. Undeveloped film, undeveloped videotape, and electronically stored data that can be converted into a visual image of child pornography are also deemed illegal visual depictions under federal law.

Notably, the legal definition of sexually explicit conduct does not require that an image depict a child engaging in sexual activity. **A picture of a naked child may constitute illegal child pornography if it is sufficiently sexually suggestive.** Additionally, the age of consent for sexual activity in a given state is irrelevant; **any depiction of a minor under 18 years of age engaging in sexually explicit conduct is illegal.**

Federal law prohibits the production, distribution, reception, and possession of an image of child pornography using or affecting any means or facility of interstate or foreign commerce (See 18 U.S.C. § 2251; 18 U.S.C. § 2252; 18 U.S.C. § 2252A). Specifically, Section 2251 makes it illegal to persuade, induce, entice, or coerce a minor to engage in sexually explicit conduct for purposes of producing visual depictions of that conduct. Any individual who attempts or conspires to commit a child pornography offense is also subject to prosecution under federal law.



Cornell Law School

LII Legal Information Institute

About LII ▶ Get the law ▶ Lawyer directory Legal enc

LII > U.S. Code > Title 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 110 > § 2256

Quick search by citation:

Title Section

18 U.S. Code § 2256 - Definitions for chapter

U.S. Code Notes

[prev](#) | [next](#)

For the purposes of this chapter, the term—

- (1) "minor" means any person under the age of eighteen years;
- (2)
 - (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), "sexually explicit conduct" means actual or simulated
 - (i) sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital, or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
 - (ii) bestiality;
 - (iii) masturbation;
 - (iv) sadistic or masochistic abuse; or
 - (v) lascivious exhibition of the anus, genitals, or pubic area of any person;



L
T
P
H

Belgium:

Art. 50. In subsection (3), inserted by section 49, a section 417/43 is inserted as follows:

"Art. 417/43. Definition of images of sexual abuse of minors

Images of sexual abuse of minors shall include:



- any material involving the visual depiction in any manner of a minor participating in real or simulated explicit sexual conduct, or involving the depiction of the sexual organs of a minor for primarily sexual purposes;

- any material that involves the visual representation by any means of a person appearing to be a minor engaged in real or simulated sexually explicit conduct, or that involves the depiction of the sexual organs of that person for primarily sexual purposes;

- realistic images involving the depiction of a non-existent minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, or involving the depiction of that minor's sexual organs for primarily sexual purposes."

The Child Focus picture provided by Parlo can be found [here](#).

False claims about commercializing



Parlo claims that the fact that the screenshot containing the inappropriate title, is included in the document (obviously meant for educational purposes) is “a type of commercialization of the distribution of sexualized content of minors.”

Parlo included the title of the video in his own video against me as well



I have included the screenshot that has the title in it for educational purposes, and the [document clearly stated](#) that I, or the document itself does not endorse the sexualization of minors as the channel was **explicitly condemned by me** with legal disclaimers included that none of the content should be endorsed or glorified in any way.

“Non-explicit images that have comments or captions of a sexual nature attached to them”

Therefore, this blatant claim is invalid and it becomes clear that Parlo does not know exactly how to 'debunk' it as I took 3.5 days of extremely accurate research before publishing this document, with giving friends early access to review it and verify. Let's be clear that I am NOT behind the channel, and [I am not the person who uploaded that video with the title on YouTube.](#)

Debunking accused hypocrisy

Parlo's disputed political views on Ukraine

(controversial, this is mostly based from experience that Parlo can hardly deny)

Parlo has very controversial and disputed political views and accuses me of hypocrisy and defaming him for that.

- Two examples were given:
- His personal political preference
 - His so-called neutrality

Considering the seriousness of the situation that I was defamed and falsely accused of CP, this cannot simply be compared to Parlo's political situation as a CP accusation without legal evidence is much worse.

There are images of inappropriate political messages by Parlo where he demands to cut all funding to Ukraine, accuses Ukraine of money laundering, biolabs and child trafficking rings¹⁸.

This includes statements by him saying that President Zelenskyy is an "evil man", that "Ukraine is a horrible country and is full of Nazis", and that it's a "shithole".

With every message and statement, and provided screenshots, it is convincing enough for me to theorize that Parlo is not as neutral as he claims to be.

In my experience of debating with him, so far, he hasn't said anything bad that condemns Russia and the governing power, or the war.

His only attempt to show that he doesn't like Russia was by simply saying "Fuck Putin/Russia" once right after I tweeted about Parlo and politics.

If he really was neutral, then he would've equally and peacefully divided his political views on both countries, or not talk about it at all.

This means that it is legally impossible to link this to defamation if there is enough evidence to theorize that his political views on the war and his heavy opposition to fund¹⁹ Ukraine in the war can be compared to the majority of (far) right nationalist ideologies that oppose (national) funding to another country. Theorizing someone's political and ideological thoughts with footage supporting the claim, that cannot be seen as a form of defamation, and considering that politics is a sensitive topic within the community, people usually prefer not to talk about it meaning that there is no way to say that

¹⁸ A lot of the statements against Ukraine are entirely based on conspiracy theories that are mainly spread by Russia's state propaganda and right wing media.

¹⁹ Ukraine is fighting the second most powerful army in the world. Without proper funding and weapons, Ukraine can suffer from an existential crisis if the defensive frontline breaks and Russia will be able to take more land from Ukraine causing the country to lose the full scale war. A lot of (far) right wing nationalist parties are strictly against funding or support, and if that happens, then Russia could win the war and defunding would've indirectly caused the expression and allowing support to Russia for a war against a sovereign, internationally recognized & independent country they should not have started in the first place.



theorizing and claiming that he is far right effectively could damage his reputation if most of the people prefer to stay out of it, unlike he did with me by accusing me of sending CPography, that is on a much worse scale than the claim that I am a hypocrite for “defaming him”, by rarely expressing my frustration and theories on how his political views may be defined looking at my experience with his poorly structured debates and views.

Parlo’s claim being a [left-wing libertarian](#) cannot be verified independently because he never explained why that is supposed to be his political preference since he rarely talks about it, but comparing a lot of his highly offensive and inappropriately said messages, and also appearing to send a remarkable number of expressions of support for Trump, who is right wing, by sending many gifs, edits, and [messages](#) about it that look like he is more in favor to right parties and ideologies. His document appeared to contain the claim that Parlo is “somewhat” left-libertarian, which is interesting to look at because [he used to say with full confidence that he is one](#) and not “somewhat”.

Back to my experience of debating with him in that Discord Server, I’d like to remind you once again that I tried to mention war crimes Russia did in another country, but Parlo immediately replied saying that Ukraine did war crimes, and never explicitly agreed to the fact that the war caused by Russia is unjustified. Of course, knowing his sociopathy and problems, he will always deny this.



Expressing my frustration that in my political and moral beliefs, a country where my mother was born, that it was unjustifiably attacked, and should have never happened, that it is never ruled out that a person cannot be frustrated and disappointed if another uses very offensive language and randomly accuses the country from my mother’s side of being full of Nazis. Asking if my mother and grandmother are Nazis too, is something Parlo did not respond to. During the conversation, he suddenly denied that every Ukrainian is a nazi, but saying that it is full of Nazis made clear that he believes that there are a lot of them, which is just another conspiracy theory that was started by the Kremlin disinformation propaganda to justify the war. Denial that there weren’t Nazis is something I don’t want to cause either, because it had indeed ideologist groups which were just a very small inactive minority that don’t actually control the country, making Putin’s reason for attacking Ukraine because of “denazification” invalid.


I remember well when Parlo and other people denied the claim that there is a genocide in Ukraine ongoing, just because it is not written down in a manner of speaking, while there is enough evidence to find on the internet that Russia indeed did a lot of condemned actions with a lot of civilian deaths since February 2022, causing at least 2 million Ukrainian people to flee their country because of how unsafe it has gotten, including my grandmother who had to leave Chernivtsi because how insanely unstable and unsafe it is.²⁰

The genocide denial by Parlo and his friends convinced me enough that they are perfectly aware of what is happening in Ukraine, and instead mainly blames Ukraine’s defensive forces for war crimes looking back at how Parlo has said [more against Ukraine than Russia](#).

Unfortunately, due to how things have escalated in the server, and it being nuked, it is easy for Parlo to deny any of these points and statements considering that the original conversation is no longer available.

Parlo’s Hitler jokes & KKK “joke” drawing

It is important for Parlo to understand and know that if he really condemns Nazis, that he shouldn’t make “dark jokes” involving Hitler as these can be immediately seen as a [glorification of Hitler in history](#). Saying that he made dark jokes, or sent them randomly for fun are weak claims to defend himself and get away with unacceptable dark jokes. This includes the leaked picture of [Parlo’s KKK drawing](#). [Parlo admitted but never officially apologized](#).



²⁰ To support this section, I have provided reasonable examples and addressed my experience with Parlo in terms of politics. While my opinions and thoughts may not be equal to other people’s political beliefs and thoughts about real life world events such as the Ukraine-Russia war or Israel-Palestine war, it is meant to explain how his neutrality can be questioned.

Inflammatory statements by me

The expression of my frustration and saying potentially inflammatory statements with inappropriate language including the use of the word "retard" due to the extremely sensitive topic on Ukraine and my discovery of what Parlo has said about the country. That this can be considered as harassment or insulting, something I will not deny.

However, it is not justified for Parlo to get away with statements, replies, and other messages and claim they were only dark jokes, or not meant to be taken seriously, even if they're not politically provocative just to get away with it.



I admit that I could have been less provocative and inflammatory in my tweets myself, and that I should have worded it differently as I still have the right to free and / or opinion based speeches. (although knowing Parlo's unstable health, he would still see it as harassment and that I shouldn't be allowed to talk about him anyway)


Any other tweets involving Parlo's name or are relevant to him are less inflammatory, better opinion based on a reasonable scale, and use of satirical images and caricatures such as AI-generated content are not as harassing or insulting as the tweets about the Ukraine situation.

! The only exception would be when I dropped a [thread](#) where I showed a lot of Parlo's old and disturbing messages that were taken on my old phone, and found years later while resetting it to prepare to give it to my mother. It was meant to prove that Parlo cannot escape the fact that his history is not clean either, since he was desperately trying to find old images from 2021 when I was younger and much more immature, acting very inappropriate with very dark jokes that should not have been said. I was a different person back then and had a lot of personal problems with my friends, but what is important is that I took a step into the future and never be the 2020-2021 Bored again after apologizing to every friend and reuniting.


Today, Parlo still makes inappropriate jokes to minors in his 18s, showing that he has barely matured since then. This will be explained in the section about Parlo being a bigger threat to minors such as traumatizing them.

Inappropriate and offensive behavior by Parlo (part 2 has a separate section)

Because of how mentally unstable he is, it is not deniable that Parlo, when it comes to harassment and insulting, is much more provocative and aggressive to people on an active basis than I could've been.



Parlo stating that my mother is a whore after I misunderstood the context and acknowledged my mistake about Parlo's message that sounded like he threatened to leak Pyndrex's logs, who is a close friend of mine.



Parlo calling me a "fucking piece of shit", wishing that "I rot in hell", calling me a "faggot piece of shit"²¹.


This is the oldest screenshot from November. It has to do with the Roblox Groomer Corporation video made by me which I will explain too in the next pages.




Parlo calling my viewers "brainrot thatboreddumbass fans" since the plagiarism scandal²² came out.

²¹ There is a screenshot where I said in a message that Parlo called me a faggot, and that I called him a faggot back, that we're on the same team now. The reference to this message is relevant to the debate I've had with him about Russia's war. Since I've addressed the situation in this document. I called him a fag out of frustration because of how he baited me by saying offensive and politically provocative statements against Ukraine. There were no actual homophobic intentions involved, not even with the statement where I said that a flagpole should be stuck into his "ass".

²² The plagiarism scandal was explained in a [video made by me](#). That was when the TISYAM-series were doing surprisingly well. Although no one owns 1 minute videos, which is correct, the desperate attempt to plagiarize the series into "[I saved you some time](#)", failed in statistics and after people noticed he tried to replicate the style that were very similar to how I make my videos, he stopped.



Parlo asking people to spam on Twitter, explicitly encouraging people to “fucking hate them with a passion” (most of the [videos he has made so far in 2024 and late 2023 contain a disclaimer to not spread hate and / or harassment](#)) and called people whores




Parlo stating that anyone who believe in the idea that he is whispertz is a brainrot child.²³

These are just one of the many examples that he doesn't have enough self control during stressful or important events and says a lot of offensive and immature messages. It's just a small part of the hundreds of inappropriate replies and messages he gave on Discord and his Twitter (suspended)²⁴ His videos may sound formal and serious, but outside YouTube, he is an entirely different and unreliable person.

²³ I do not endorse whispertz's actions nor will I ever, but it is to show that he is still very immature to use offensive and inappropriate language and doesn't handle stressful situations properly.

²⁴ His Twitter Account is suspended. He uses an alt claiming he is a parody called [@WholsParlo](#)

TwoMad Situation

Video	Visibility	Monetization	Restrictions
 <p>TwoMad Died As A Criminal There, I ... Why TwoMad Passed Away TwoMad was a famous YouTuber who has passed aw...</p>	Unlisted	Limited	Ad suitability

When TwoMad died, people requested me to make a TISYAM video about it. I had a lot of concerns about it because it was an extremely complicated and disputed topic to discuss.


I have temporarily unlisted the video for research purposes and to see whether mistakes were made or not since Parlo and his group claim that I was spreading misinformation with no evidence and came to the following conclusions:

The video

After getting a lot of requests to make a video on the TwoMad situation, I had second thoughts, but decided to release it anyway. The video explains his death, what his possible cause of death is, and mentions the most relevant parts he has been accused of, and possibly confirmed too.

The title, thumbnail and the description

It was very hard for me to think of an attractive title or thumbnail, because normally, I don't post non-Roblox content, meaning that this video was meant for any audience outside Roblox. As a YouTuber, to attract new viewers, I had to make sure that it can compete with other videos discussing it quickly by looking at how other people named their videos, and then made my own one.



The screenshot shows the YouTube Studio interface for a video titled "TwoMad Died As A Criminal | There, I Saved You A Minute". The video details section includes the title, a description, and a sources list. A red arrow points from the "sources list" label to the list of links in the description.

Video details

Title (required) ?
TwoMad Died As A Criminal | There, I Saved You A Minute

[Get AI title recommendations](#) 3 credits remaining
New credits in 28 days ?

Description ?
Why TwoMad Passed Away

TwoMad was a famous YouTuber who has passed away with the cause of death being a drug overdose. People made fun of his death because he was exposed for grooming and many more criminal actions. He passed away at the age of 23. This video summarizes everything in less than two minutes.

There, I saved you a minute.

sources list:

- Jameskii talks about TwoMad: <https://twitter.com/Jameskii/status/1757852632457318553>
- Jameskii reveals info exposing TwoMad's allegations: <https://twitter.com/Jameskii/status/1758308036425515046>

The lack of evidence

I used the title "TwoMad Died As A Criminal" and said he got exposed for grooming and more criminal actions because of how the absolute majority believes that, while there is indeed a lack of evidence, that the detailed stories by Jameskii telling his experience after TwoMad died looked very convincing in the eyes of a lot of people. Let me explain.

Video details

Title (required) ?

TwoMad Died As A Criminal | There, I Saved You A Minute

Proving that the video was known not to be reliable enough, but rather as an introduction


The way I worded it may suggest that he is an actual criminal or a groomer, but I was aware that there is a lack of evidence, so I took the risk using that described detailing structure of the video, but gave clear references to the fact that there are more allegations than clear evidence, such as stating that :

sources list:

Jameskii talks about TwoMad: <https://twitter.com/Jameskii/status/1757852632457318553>

Jameskii **reveals info exposing TwoMad's allegations**: <https://twitter.com/Jameskii/status/1758308036425515046>


I clearly stated that there are **allegations** against TwoMad



Saying at the end of the video that it is a complicated topic, but is intended to introduce people to the news, and that they can do their own research using the extensive list of sources I provided in [the description](#).

More videos can be found by simply searching up "TwoMad Allegations" or "TwoMad Exposed", "TwoMad Documentary", ...

A sentence explaining that there are much more videos about it to encourage viewers that they don't have to rely on only one video




A reply to a comment saying that James does not have evidence for calling him a pedophile and rapist.

The pinned comment gave additional context and very important disclaimers stating that:

- The video lacks context due to it being short and not reliable enough, but that it is an introduction for those who are interested.
- The TISYAM community and new viewers have the full right to free speech and debate the situation without censorship. It was known before that people criticized the claims and video before, and the reason why they can be read is because I prohibit censorship, allowing viewers to discuss a situation where people's public opinions may be divided.
- The majority believes that he is a criminal for his actions. By definition, the title of the video defines the majority of the public opinion believing that he did die as a person with a criminal background.

The smaller minority are convinced that James did not provide enough evidence, and that a lot of allegations are falsified. Thinking logically, James probably wouldn't risk spreading false accusations with insanely long text explaining his possible experience with him, since his family members could sue him, but that doesn't mean that I officially confirmed it is real, even if the description or title made it sound like that.



TwoMad Response: <https://twitter.com/Furplememe/status/1758359118715187502>

I also included an [original video clip of TwoMad's response](#), published by an old online friend. A 2 hour (not the full video is published) conversation with James being mentioned.

All of the available sources I found were given to people for their own research so they do not have to rely on one video by me.

The Roblox Groomer Corporation

[The Roblox Groomer Corporation](#) is a satirical video animation that mocks the Roblox Corporation for not having enough moderation to ban exposed groomers and other bad people.

This video was uploaded on November 11, 2023 and Parlo appeared in the video too.

The video was mainly based on Roblox Groomers, but Parlo's appearance was not originally intended to identify him as a groomer, as it was taken out of context by me due to a lack of responsibility in writing the script.

Controversy behind the video

It was a randomly made video with a few scenes, but one scene included Parlo beating up Notive for being a groomer.

The script I wrote was taken horribly out of context with very strong arguments since the video itself was based on groomers, and indirectly made Parlo look like a groomer too.


This is so far the most useless topic for the document but they're forcing me to explain it.

The script was written to make the scenes as stupid as possible. One scene includes Parlo beating up Notive, referencing Parlo's video exposing him. When Notive got beaten up, Parlo's character looks into the camera and says "hello everyone..". Until two screenshots of him sexting with someone were thrown into his face.

An example can be seen on the right side of this document. This is one of Parlo's leaked and very disturbing sexting messages²⁵ where you clearly see how irresponsible he was in 2022 for saying this as a minor to minor. What [I originally thought](#), is that grooming **could've** been involved. I was wrong after researching the origin of the sexting.

The real reason why I originally included this scene is to symbolize the fact that while Parlo actively exposes people, that he isn't as innocent as he seems with his history and present day, and can get easily exposed too.²⁶

Eventually I came to the conclusion that changes had to be made.



²⁵ The image quality is low, but Parlo confirmed a while ago that the sexting was real. The bad quality images that were taken about me, are a different story and experience.




²⁶ This footage contains the sexting that happened in 2022. This may be old now, but shows the irresponsibility could still be present to this day looking at how he has no emotional self control.

Cutting the scene from the video

The Discussion

When I had my first conversation with Parlo in November at approximately 2:00 PM CET, I tried to explain that it was unintentional to make him look like a groomer, but he did convince me that it was indeed a mistake since it can be taken out of context very easily.

I offered to either blur the part or cut the entire scene, but he did not respond as he was aggressive and wasn't able to stay calm when we talked, November 24.




Because Parlo left the group chat, it was up to me and two other friends to decide whether I should keep the scene or not, because he wasn't able to peacefully discuss it.

The Decision

Finally, I came to a conclusion with my friends that it was in fact wrong to include him in the video, even if it was not the original intention to define him as a groomer in that video. As you can see, I sent a [screenshot](#) of the YT Studio Editor proving that I cut out the part.²⁷

If you go to the video, and play it, then you no longer see Parlo's appearance as of November 2023. This was months ago.



²⁷ The original video is still available to prove that the part is removed in case you don't believe me.

How Parlo is a bigger threat to minors

Now that I have explained everything, let me explain something very important that can prove that Parlo is a bigger threat to minors than what people think of me at the moment.

Parlo does not have self control

It has come to a lot of people's attention, yet not enough, that Parlo has extreme mental problems and is not capable of emotional self control.


Let's be fair, we are not mentioning his past this time. Of course, Homotopia was a horrible scandal where a lot of minors were encouraged to behave inappropriately, but let's see what happened not so long ago. Recently, incidents happened where he traumatized minors and exposed them to extremely disturbing images such as gore. Suicidal messages, and more.

The two excuses to get away with it

1. Mental breakdowns
2. Saying that it's only dark jokes

Parlo and his group made a lame excuse to get away with everything Parlo does when he cannot control himself. Instead of admitting that he needs urgent help.* He continues getting away with everything, and friends at his side protecting him at all costs while destroying his own health on YouTube, Discord and Twitter, a step closer into the mental hospital.

Jokes have limits, and even mental breakdowns have limits*.







Self Harm / Gore / Suicidal messages

Parlo helping dupe

Dupe, who is 16, used to be close friends with Parlo and allegedly suffered from depression and suicidal thoughts. Parlo claims to have helped Dupe not to have suicidal thoughts again.²⁸

Traumatizing with self harm

Considering that Parlo is older and is morally considered an older role model for a person who needs to be helped and supported, he has scandalously traumatized this person on February 14, 2024, and February 25, 2024, with serious messages linked containing self harm²⁹ and suicidal thoughts.³⁰




← This image is from RYC, it is unrelated to Dupe.

²⁸ This is entirely based on Parlo's tweet that contains DMs of Dupe that are most likely not faked.

²⁹ It is unclear if this is Parlo's hand. Obviously it is censored because it is gore/self harm.

³⁰ Source: [PARLO DRIVE DUPE](#)



For the uncropped version, click [here](#).

For the full archive, click [here](#).

Parlo and his mental breakdowns


Their only defense against one of these serious cases would be that Parlo had mental breakdowns. Yes, it has become very common for Parlo to have mental breakdowns that can traumatize minors or friends. Parlo, most likely, will always deny that his mental breakdowns caused problems to his friends and fans but knowing how dangerous he could be to himself and others, it is clear that this person can be seen as mentally ill and irresponsible on a social and emotional level to himself, his friends, mostly underaged fans, family and even parents.

People who don't have self control, aggressively react to everything, and are not capable of handling the smallest form of criticism, justified or not, and have serious cases of mental breakdowns that traumatizes people, should get treated in real life instead of letting them get away with it, while horribly letting them damage the mental health just for the sake of, for example, staying on YouTube and massively farming drama as if it's a life goal or a dream job.


Saying that 'Parlo had mental breakdowns and would never intentionally send this kind of messages is not justified at this point when looking at the history of his problems he's had since he started his YouTube.

Pedophilic “jokes” & potentially sexualizing minors


What Parlo also gets away with all the time, is that he makes a lot of sexually suggestive jokes that at some point started to involve topics that can be related to grooming, pedophilia, or the sexualization of minors. When stating that these are dark jokes, it would mean that Parlo had self-awareness of what he was doing and sending to minors. Parlo will always fight and claim that these were unharmed dark jokes, no matter what.



Parlo pretending to stutter, saying “minors” with a drooling face.³¹



Parlo, saying he’s 20, and that it’s fine that he likes minors, if it’s possible to link his response to the picture above.



Parlo joking that “a 50 year age gap is ok”.

³¹ The way the message was sent is a direct reference to how people sexualize minors by using relevant emojis too.



Parlo stated that he'd be a pedo, but "a hip hop cool one". Saying that Fave looks extremely cool and that everyone needs to aspire to be him.



→ Parlo does an imaginary quote, [joking about screensharing porn to minors.](#)

→ Irrelevant

→ Joking about imaginary ["parlo CP rape porn"](#)³²

This screenshot is not relevant to Dupe.
The uncropped version of this screenshot can be found [here](#).



³² I do not endorse the actions and evil intentions that are done by the "xdgamer" group for a long time, but the fact that he joked about "his own CP rape porn" is concerning enough.

Parlo will say that these are dark and edgy jokes

Parlo will always say, no matter what, that he has dark humor and makes dark jokes, just to get away with everything again. No, there is no legal evidence that makes it possible to confirm he's a pedophile or a groomer, but normally you wouldn't make pedophilic or grooming jokes, even if you make imaginary quotes or involve yourself in it. A lot of people will condemn the jokes and messages as they are unusual, very uncommon and disrespectful to actual victims. It almost makes people believe at this point that he's just used to this kind of joking and behavior, giving a good reason to be concerned.

What would happen if you report it


If you forward the screenshots with proof that the messages were effectively made by him to the law enforcement agencies of the United Kingdom, he may be questioned by the police and investigated as they are deemed to be harmful or offensive. This is why I said that it is no longer unethical to accuse him of grooming or pedophilic Intentions. No longer unethical, since he used to be massively accused of pedophilia or grooming, while he isn't one, but that the jokes give law enforcement agencies a better view and right on opening an investigation, for example.




The Valentines Day Incident

On February 14, 2024, Parlo's Discord Server suffered from one of Parlo's known mental breakdowns traumatizing tons of server members, moderators and admins with himself explicitly stating that he wants his activities to be traumatizing. He has made extremely inappropriate and disturbing comments about the day, about himself, and death. This includes public speeches about self harm and what he wants to do to himself.

This proves that he did not only traumatize people in private, but also in public by saying this kind of disturbing stuff in his own public Discord Server.



Parlo stated that he wants his activities to be traumatizing, proving my point that he's unstable and is a threat to minors.



Parlo's powerless apology to Dupe

Back to the problems Parlo has caused with Dupe, it is good to mention that he tried to apologize to Dupe and posted it quickly on Twitter, shamelessly trying to prove that he is a 'good person' for apologizing once.

While Parlo says it is a heartfelt apology, looking at the traumatic damage that was done to a minor with alleged suicidal thoughts, for sending the self harm, gore, this is nothing more than a stunt on Twitter to prove that Dupe is in the wrong and that Parlo is the good guy.

Dupe clearly says that he somewhat accepts his apology, but that he went too far. It is undeniable that this 16 year old person is indeed traumatized by Parlo for seeing gore and suicide messages.



Parlo's impulsive false accusation against Dupe

The fact that Parlo called Dupe a serial doxxer, and then retracted his statement the day later, shows that he can even betray his close friends by stating to his audience on Twitter that he is a serial doxxer to immediately put him in a bad light, before finding more pointless footage to 'expose'.




Parlo will always call me a liar

Parlo's most used word against me right now is that I am a liar, which is unsurprising because the best way to make a person look like they're in the wrong is simply saying that they've lied at a lot of parts. But this is the actual story, I took effort, time and 3.5 days of research to debunk everything and prove that I have been aggressively defamed by a group of drama farmers.

Taken from Parlo's document

ThatBoredGamer's Response






This is a copy paste of BoredGamers "defense context" and it is legitimately horrible.

TBG claims the channel which actively posts CP, and CP r word art of Parlo's character and also doxes Parlo and harasses him is a "troll channel", in an attempt to belittle the situation.

there was a discussion about a bad youtube channel that should get taken down asap

The discussion came from a DIRECT discord reply to him INITIATED by Parlo, and the discussion was not very large at all, only after Parlo DIRECTLY told him the channel had DOX info and CP.



This was proved, as Parlo's message telling him about the context to a situation he had no idea of, nor did ANYONE else, came 3 minutes BEFORE he found the channel and posted about it.

This is a lie, the channel was NOT being discussed BEFORE Parlo made the DIRECT reply message to him. Hence why Parlo opened the discussion of the channel with "he has a friend of possible alt account on yt which posted my dox and cp on yt yesterday". No one had knowledge of this information UNTIL Parlo had sent that message.

The aftermath

Once this document gets published, there are at least 5 possible scenarios or events that could happen:

1. Parlo will start a live stream to tell his viewers that I'm still lying and by using the [influential manipulation strategy](#).
 - last live stream: march 4, 2024, [before the doc's release](#).
2. Parlo will make a major 30m+ video telling that this document is false and based on lies only, with weak proof to manipulate his viewers
3. Parlo will continue saying that I sent CP, while it has been debunked in extreme detail
4. Parlo will waste his life to make a poor response document just to show that "he's innocent, and to show that I am only lying"
5. Parlo will say that I take no accountability and do not want to apologize

Current situation

Parlo announced that he's making a "[200 page document](#)". Looking at how he failed at falsely claiming that I'm commercializing the content, and randomly predicting it will be 200 pages, it is likely that his document will fail and have weak points, compared to this document that is slowly convincing a lot of people that I have been defamed.

Final conclusion

Because of how things have escalated in the Discord Server, and the conversation about the YouTube channel was very sensitive, I should have been more careful and double think before sending something that could be used to defame me.

It was after all my responsibility, yet purely unintentional and now everything debunked so hard, that Parlo will have an extremely hard time to twist every story again like he always does to everyone, and will continue doing as long as his channel stays active.

I am not a groomer, not a pedophile, not a CP poster, and I will never have any evil or malicious intentions.

I will be keeping this version of the document in the archives in case of attending / having to participate in a lawsuit³³.

³³ Lawsuits are not ruled out, but this is **not** an announcement that a lawsuit will start.

The result of being defamed:

The image shows two side-by-side screenshots. On the left is a Twitter thread from user Avey (@Iamaverage123). The top tweet says "Nah, should be 'ThatboredGroomer'" and has 158 views. Below it are two replies: one from @opidas saying "you're calling tbg a groomer without any proofs or anythin, that's wild." and another from @Edgeworth saying "what". On the right is a screenshot of YouTube channel analytics. A banner reads "Great news! Your channel got more views from YouTube recommendations." Below this, it states "Your channel got 332,002 views, more than the 91,600-209,000 it usually gets in 28 days". A summary table shows: Views: 332.0K (up), Watch time (hours): [redacted], Subscribers: +1.6K (809 more than usual), and Estimated revenue: [redacted]. A line graph shows a peak in views on Friday, March 1, 2024, with a change of -118.

^ IMPORTANT:

[Avey recently apologized and retracted his statements](#), admitting that he was manipulated by Parlo.

The image shows two screenshots. On the left is a tweet from Avey (@Iamaverage123) dated 1:45 p.m. on March 5, 2024, with 6 views. The text reads: "This goes to @BoredGamerRBLX, I apologize for calling and saying you were a pedophile and groomer, I know now that you are not and I will remove the code for it off my bot as well and delete the tweets. Personally, I did not think you were but because of parlos influence". Below the tweet is a reply from Avey: "He manipulated me and many others into believing him. I will strive for the truth and the best. I'm sorry." On the right is a screenshot of a Discord announcement in a channel named "# announcements". The announcement, posted on March 2, 2024, says "U start by pinging it". A follow-up announcement from Avey on March 5, 2024, says "@everyone I made a counting bot in # (123) counting". A final announcement from Avey today at 12:53 says "@everyone do not call that bored gamer a pedophile. Parlo manipulated me into believing his lies about him. Anyone calling him one will be banned."



Lola Idk @LolaIdk14 · 2 mrt.

The YouTuber ThatBoredGamer has been exposed for doxing and grooming a 12 year old



56



ThatBoredGamer 🇧🇪 🇺🇦 @BoredGamerRBLX · 28 feb.

WHAT THE FUCK I THOUGHT THIS WAS A PARODY ACCOUNT LOL



John Cena @JohnCena · 51 m

New OF Video Drop

There is only one place to see my first ever CREAMPIE!



OnlyFans
onlyfans.com



1,2K



3K



5,3K



712K



20

3

38

2,3K



Lola Idk @LolaIdk14 · 2 mrt.

Stop grooming little 12 year old for your micro pickle



39



Lola Idk @LolaIdk14 · 2 mrt.

Als antwoord op @BoredGamerRBLX

Stop grooming kid for your micro



40



ThatBoredGamer @BoredGamerRBLX · 26 feb.
WHAT THE FUCK I THOUGHT THIS WAS A PARODY ACCOUNT LOL

John Cena @JohnCena · 51 m
New OF Video Drop

There is only one place to see my first ever CREAMPIE!


OnlyFans
onlyfans.com

1.2K 3K 5.3K 712K

20 3 38 2.3K

BlackNegro @Peelicker911

P3DO



|| GIF ALT

schyler strong @strong87959 · 2 mrt.
@thatboredgamer quit lying parlo did nothing wrong you deserve jailtime forever that's what you are a crybaby



|| GIF

ThatBoredGamer @BoredGamerRBLX · 1 mrt.

In proving that I am a victim of proof could get them in serious legal trouble in means: No someone of something serious in proof, while the law presupposes

Defamation is something I do not wish to anyone. People calling me a pedophile and cp poster make me sick. Please read my community post in the screenshot.

141

Leland @ykl3land · 21 u
February 27, make sure to remember what he has done and should be in jail

ThatBoredGamer @BoredGamerRBLX · 1 mrt.

Defamation is something I do not wish to anyone. People calling me a pedophile and cp poster make me sick. Please read my community post in the screenshot.

ThatBoredGamer 2 minutes ago

I will be sending a statement soon proving that I am a victim of problematic defamation with accusations done by people that could get them in serious legal trouble. More details will come soon.

If you don't know what defamation means:
Defamation is maliciously accusing someone of something serious so that it exposes that person, without being able to provide legal proof, while the law presupposes proof.

Show less

30

ja(i)den 03-03-2024 15:25
ThatBoredGroomer

3




MoonTheExplorer @MoonTheExplorer · 3 mrt.

Bro disabled replies on tweets about the CP situation and blocked people who mentioned it.

Pft,

As if your guilty conscience wasn't already showing enough 🤔



If Talentless was a furry 🤔🤔 @TalentlessX · 3 mrt.

what is your opinion on cp? you went out of your way to search up cp and screenshot it to share it with your friends. Interesting!



 **MoonTheExplorer** @MoonTheExplorer · 3 mrt.
How was the CP bro?

Blud watched CP of a little girl
😬😬😬😬💀💀💀

🗨️ ↻ ❤️ 📊 11 📌 ⬆️

 **Electro85** @Electro85x · 1 mrt.
Do you rememeber, the 27th night of February



🗨️ 1 ↻ ❤️ 1 📊 189 📌 ⬆️

 **If Talentless was a furry** 😬😬 @TalentlessX · 1 mrt.
"I do not wish defemation on anyone, calling me a pedo makes me sick!"
Thatboredpedo:


🗨️ ↻ ❤️ 📊 43 📌 ⬆️

 @tehepikguest1337 · 13 hours ago **27 subscribers**
me when you post uncensored cp to minors


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 🚫

 @tehepikguest1337 · 13 hours ago **27 subscribers**
ThatBoredGamer CP Allegations | There, I saved you a minute


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 🚫

 @SlatyRamen · 19 hours ago **27 subscribers**
This guy leaked cp huh?


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @KZGames9967 · 1 day ago **91 subscribers**
He used to be one of my favorite youtubers, why does every single one of them happen to like cheese pizza so much?



✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @estonia_ · 1 day ago **30 subscribers**
Next tittle
I became a pedo There, I Saved You A Minute


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @DRXXMURS · 1 day ago **25 subscribers**
Thatboredgamer sending cp to minors in his discord server and grooming, there i saved you a minute.


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @alextoolstudio  · 1 day ago **104 subscribers**
not him!!!! WHY WOULD HE DO THIS WHO NEXT BOWSERPOWER?


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @dracoo007 · 30 minutes ago **20 subscribers**
ironic because bored posted cp on a discord server lmao


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @PatrinxYT · 2 days ago **20 subscribers**
While Parlo Exposed You Posting CP to a bunch of minors 💀💀💀


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @goofydingus · 2 days ago **6 subscribers**
The fact that he ~~defended~~ an pedo, then posted cp himself


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⚠️

 @DealMak3r1997 · 2 days ago **2 subscribers**
tbh even if you get cancelled, I'm still gonna watch your tisyam


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @no-625 · 2 days ago
@WeeDefault supporting cp posters out here


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @LusciousBeans · 2 days ago
you know, i thought there was good in you, i thought content creators just were jealous of you getting views of the drama videos, but now i see youve turned to the place most youtubers go to, the dark path, i started watching you when you made the lisa gaming videos, and then now i see you like this, great job (sarcasm if you couldnt tell)


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @Arrowgu4 · 2 days ago **47 subscribers**
i saw the thumbnail of the video and to be honest that doesnt look like cp (just a weird thumbnail)


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @Nicsorence15 · 2 days ago **24 subscribers**
Imagine "accidentally" showing uncensored CP in a discord server


✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @thatokguythatsaysok · 2 days ago **1.11K subscribers**
thatboredepstein445
stop edgingh. to litt le chol dnren

✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @eymenthug · 2 days ago **61 subscribers** Blocked words
@HeCesar bro parlo and his fans be overreacting 💀

✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛

 @no-625 · 2 days ago Blocked words
@HeCesar "genuine mistake" bro heard the yt channel was cp and started searching for it, then took a screenshot of the cp and sent it to a server full of minors LOL 🤡🤡

✓ 🗑️ 🚩 ⌛



@xrs10s · 2 days ago **1.81K** subscribers


Bored was caught with CP of a little girl




@fakiee_is_him · 3 days ago (edited) **1** subscribers


If you don't know what's happening that bored sent cp to his discord sever that was full with minors





 @lauraa8700 · 3 days ago **1** subscribers
What happened???





 @Steam537 · 3 days ago **4** subscribers
He leaked cp to a server full of minors





 @Herobrine_6884 · 2 days ago **53** subscribers
Streamed cp in a discord server with a bunch of minors






 @RohHdh92 · 2 days ago (edited)
The green dude is a pedo (totally a joke)






 @goofydingus · 2 days ago **6** subscribers
He posted cp on his discord server full of minors to see





 @shannan1814  · 3 days ago **87** subscribers
and then you post cp in discord. Yep it's over, there's no saving this. At this point just start over on a new channel you're not coming back from this



 @KingTrex  · 3 days ago (edited) **2.86K** subscribers
is your wall too white -> (walter white)



 @Arstotzka69420 · 2 days ago **43** subscribers
for context tbg posted cheese pizza in his server @WeeDefault





@coolboy2010ty · 3 days ago **8 subscribers**

"You're not a clown, you're the entire circus." - Miles Edgeworth



@Southparkfan598 · 2 days ago **353 subscribers**

@RohHdh92 I mean, true but is that worse then Distributing CP?



He was accused of posting cp in his discord



@fatherindisguise2688 · 3 days ago **4 subscribers**

Bored was accused of posting cheese pizza "allegedly"



@xilozet · 3 days ago **6 subscribers**

He posted cp on his discord server (and full of minor)



@Annoyance. · 3 days ago **4 subscribers**

Cp in server with minors



@no-625 · 3 days ago

he posted cp of 12 year old girl



@HiemColvin · 2 days ago

he sent cp a lot of minors




@venomousemperorchomik304 · 2 days ago **14 subscribers**

he posted Cheese Pizza to his discord full of minors





Legally identifying Parlo: Parlo's Femboy Identity



[@CammyFemboy](#)
[u/Cammy Boi 05](#)³⁴

³⁴ Public reddit account, images were sent by Parlo himself on a public profile, therefore were not leaked.

Parlo's Name



3m = 3 months, no blur, but confirmed he did share it.
"even if i did" makes it reasonable enough to assume that he no longer cares.