

**State of Minnesota**

County of:

Kandiyohi

**District Court**

Judicial District:

Eighth

Court File Number:

21-CV-26-432

Case Type:

Harassment

In the Matter of:

Kayla Christine Rekieta

Petitioner (first, middle, last)

☒ On behalf of:

Other persons needing protection (first, middle, last)

[REDACTED] Rekieta, [REDACTED] Rekieta,

[REDACTED] Rekieta, [REDACTED] Rekieta

☒ and for her/himself

vs.

Aaron Michael Imholte

Respondent (first, middle, last)

**Petition for Harassment  
Restraining Order**

Minn. Stat. § 609.748

**1. Petitioner Information (You)**

Name: (first, middle, last)

Kayla Christine Rekieta

Date of birth: (month/day/year):

[REDACTED]

Gender: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Address:

☐ I am requesting that my address be kept confidential by submitting the completed  
Confidential Address/Phone Request form (HAR104) to the court.

**OR**

☒ I am not requesting that my address be kept confidential. My address is:

My Address: [REDACTED]

City, State, Zip Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ I am requesting that my **phone number** be kept confidential by submitting the completed *Confidential Address/Phone Request* form (HAR104) to the court.

**OR**

☒ I am not requesting that my phone number be kept confidential. My phone number is:

Telephone: [REDACTED]

## 2. Email Notification of Service

By providing my email address below, I ask to be notified by email when the respondent is served with the Harassment Restraining Order (HRO). I understand that:

- This is the only email I will receive from the court about the HRO unless I have signed up to receive other court notices via email,
- It will only be possible for the court to notify me by email when service information is received by the court,
- A technical or other error could occur preventing the successful delivery of the email,
- I have other options to learn of the service of the HRO on the respondent, including contacting law enforcement directly, and
- I must provide a valid email address in order to receive this notification of service.

THIS EMAIL ADDRESS WILL BE SEEN BY THE RESPONDENT:

Email address: [REDACTED]

## 3. Who needs protection?

☒ Me (Petitioner)

☒ My minor children

☐ A person for whom I am appointed the legal guardian (attach Guardianship Order)

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

For anyone you checked above, other than yourself, please fill out the following:

| Name (first, middle, last) | Gender                                | Date of Birth | Lives with you?                                                     |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| [REDACTED] Rekieta         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F | [REDACTED]    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>    |
| [REDACTED] Rekieta         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M | [REDACTED]    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| [REDACTED] Rekieta         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F | [REDACTED]    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| [REDACTED] Rekieta         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F | [REDACTED]    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

## 4. Respondent Information: (Person you want protection from)

Name: (first, middle, last)

Aaron Michael Imholte

Address:

City, State, Zip Code

Telephone:

Race: caucasian

Gender ☒ Male ☐ Female

Date of birth:

If unknown, age or approximate age

(Respondent's race, gender, and date of birth or approximate age are required for reporting requirements.)

Is Respondent under the age of 18? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Answer these questions *only if* Respondent is younger than 18:

Respondent's parent's or guardian's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Parent or guardian address: \_\_\_\_\_

If Respondent is under 18 years old, service must be made on Respondent *and* Respondent's parent or guardian.

5. What are the relationship(s) between the respondent and the people who need protection?

Check all that apply.

☐ Married

☐ Divorced

☐ Currently living together

☐ Lived together in the past

☐ Have a child together

☐ Have an unborn child together

☐ Parent/Child

☒ Other/None of the above. Please explain: Former  
Friend

### OTHER COURT CASES

6. a) How many restraining orders have been in effect, ordering Respondent to stay away from each person you included at #3 above? ☐ none ☐ one ☒ two or more. For each restraining order provide: NOTE: No civil restraining orders exist between parties, however, respondent was under release conditions for violation of 617.261 against petitioner. Those release conditions contained a protective order; respondent violated those conditions on multiple

occasions. Respondent pled guilty and his sentence's probation terms included another protective order. Petitioner asks the court to find these release conditions and probation conditions as TWO prior restraining orders for the purposes of this petition.

| Court File Number, if known | County and State where the court is located |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 73-cr-24-6910               | Stearns County, MN                          |
|                             |                                             |
|                             |                                             |

b) Does Respondent have a current Harassment Restraining Order or Order for Protection against you?

☐ Yes, Case File Number (if known)

☒ No, I am not aware of any.

7. The following court cases involve me and the Respondent in issues of child custody or parenting time:

| Court File Number | County and State where the court is located |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                   |                                             |
|                   |                                             |
|                   |                                             |

## ACTS OF HARASSMENT

8. Respondent has harassed the victim(s) (the person or persons listed in #3 above) as follows:
- Check all boxes that apply and give the date and details of each incident. If the exact date is not known, provide a date range.
  - To get a HRO, you must describe actions that meet the legal definition of harassment in Minnesota. See the *Instructions* (HAR101) for the definition of harassment.
  - If you need more space, include additional pages and continue your description there. If filing on paper, do not write on the back of any page.

☐ a. Respondent physically or sexually assaulted the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ b. Respondent has followed, monitored, or pursued the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ c. Respondent made uninvited visits to the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ d. Respondent made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☒ e. Respondent made threats to the victim as follows:

Date (date range): 4/1/2024 to present \_\_\_\_\_

Respondent has made and repeated threats for over two years to reveal or disclose embarrassing facts or fictions about Petitioner if she did not comply with some manner of behavior that he deemed appropriate. Respondent has used Petitioner's, and her family's, privacy, safety, and security as a constant and menacing threat to cause further harm and distress to her. Respondent knows, as Petitioner pleaded with him, that Privacy is of utmost concern to her. Respondent specifically acknowledged this in text messages with Petitioner, and utilized that knowledge to threaten and terrorize her for two years.

☐ f. Respondent frightened the victim with threatening behavior as follows:

Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ g. Respondent broke into and entered the victim's residence as follows:

Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ h. Respondent damaged the victim's property as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ i. Respondent stole property from the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☒ j. Respondent took pictures of the victim without permission as follows:  
Date (date range): 1/31/2024 \_\_\_\_\_

Ref: File No: 73- CR-24-6910 on January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024, respondent took a picture of petitioner while live on the air. This link has a video clip of him taking the picture and also of his discussion of taking the photo on a subsequent broadcast on or about April 10<sup>th</sup> or 11<sup>th</sup>:

<https://youtu.be/P4QoG0dN8gY?is=C5bpZlQZu9-LkL0l>

As discussed below, Respondent would go on to unlawfully disseminate this image as described below.

☒ k. Respondent shared private sexual images of the victim without permission as follows:  
Date (date range): May 27<sup>th</sup> 2024 – Court file 73-CR-24-6910 – Summary: On or about May 27<sup>th</sup>, 2024, Respondent shared a nude photograph of Petitioner, while on a live broadcast, with Geno Bisconte, who also appeared on the live broadcast. Respondent publicly communicated identifying information about petitioner to associate her with the photograph that was sent. Respondent and Geno Bisconte publicly exchanged demeaning commentary about the photo. Petitioner did not consent to this photograph being taken and did not consent to this photograph being distributed to Geno Bisconte or any other person. The public nature of sharing the photograph along with the discussion has subjected Petitioner to extreme, persistent, and disgusting comments and has immensely damaged her reputation.

☐ l. Respondent used the victim's personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the victim as follows:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ m. More than once, Respondent has done acts that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing" by:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ n. The Respondent has been told not to come to certain public events that the victim attends because:

After that, Respondent attended public events that the victim attended: (List dates, places and name of events)

These acts by Respondent show a pattern of attending public events while knowing that attending is harassing to the victim.

☒ o. Used social media to harass the victim by:

Date (date range): 4/1/2024 - Present

In addition to nonconsensually photographing petitioner on his livestreamed youtube broadcast and subsequently nonconsensually disseminating the photo on another livestreamed youtube broadcast, respondent has spent over two years harassing victim by making private facts public with foreknowledge that petitioner wished those facts to remain private, pleaded with petitioner via private message to stop discussion of her private life, including statements about her private sex life in often lurid detail. When petitioner requested Respondent to stop speaking about her publicly, he acknowledged that ANY discussion, positive or negative, was harmful to her and said he would stop. This was on 5/26/2024, one day before he would disseminate a private intimate image without her consent.

During the pendency of his criminal case(73-cr-24-6910), petitioner was placed under release conditions that contained a protective order preventing direct or indirect contact with petitioner in addition to no direct or indirect mentions on social media. In or around October, the court found that respondent violated the order on "many occasions,"(Disgraced morning DJ arrested during sentencing hearing for nonconsensual dissemination of sexual images | National | kadsn.com) all of which involved a direct connection to his social media platforms. Respondent continues to push the limits of the court's protective order, including displaying images of Petitioner on a recent broadcast. Respondent's persistent harrassment has continued over two years, in spite of court orders. Recently, Respondent indicated he believed his probation would be ending imminently and he was excited and could not wait to go on shows to discuss petitioner, despite stating to the court that he never wanted to speak about her again.

Respondent frequently makes false and demeaning statements about Petitioner's minor children, but he appears to have particular animus and attention to [REDACTED]. Respondent has repeatedly accused this minor child of ingesting cocaine and even suggested she might murder him. To be clear, respondent suggested a minor child was a cocaine user who may want to murder him.

[REDACTED] has never ingested cocaine or any other non-prescription narcotic. Respondent continues to suggest that she has issues related to cocaine use and other false, humiliating, and vile claims. Upon information and belief, this is fueled by the court's imposition on his ability to openly humiliate petitioner.

☐ p. Other:  
Date (date range): \_\_\_\_\_

9. Describe the effect the harassment has upon the victim's safety, security or privacy:

Petitioner has been subject to public ridicule, disgusting rumor, and daily abuse at the hands of Respondent for more than two years. Petitioner's sexual morality is subjected to public ridicule because Respondent made her his victim and made her reputation and public image his doormat. The volume of vile, often false and otherwise private statements made by Respondent about Petitioner is hard to tabulate, but the effects have subjected her to tens of thousands of derogatory and demeaning comments about the most private matters of her life. Respondent has repeatedly, even post conviction following a guilty plea, suggested that HE was the victim in the scenario and has made Petitioner out to be his villain.

Petitioner's privacy has been utterly erased by Respondent. The vile, obscene nature of his actions, comments, and the public vitriol they have produced have put her safety and security into nonexistence including having her and her minor children stalked by a dedicated fan of Respondent's show and Respondent's mirthful response to her suffering. Respondent is aware of Petitioner's desire for privacy and anxiety about public interactions; he does not care.

On or about June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025, Respondent took out an ex-parte restraining order against Petitioner's husband, knowing that her husband was her victim support in his criminal case referenced above. After doing so, he petitioned the court to attempt to prevent her husband from attending his sentencing hearing to prevent his victim from having support as she read her victim impact statement. The court did not grant this request, but Respondent showed the remorseless, callous view of the petitioner that has put her safety, security, and privacy at risk for over two years.

10. Do you believe the harassment will continue? ☒ Yes ☐ No Why?

Respondent has consistently used his social media platform and private business (Steel Toe Morning Show) to harass and bully women. Years prior, he led an open campaign of harassment against a female broadcaster in Iowa by making defamatory and abusive statements persistently until the Radio Station he worked for publicly ([Radioinsight - St. Cloud Morning Host Exits After](#)

Misogynistic Attacks On Des Moines Host). His first wife, [REDACTED] had to get a restraining order against him for his vile online commentary; he would go on to be criminally convicted for violating the restraining order: 71-CR-24-647. His second wife, April [REDACTED] has been subjected to similar daily harassment as Petitioner and has mocked his own documented physical abuse of her, recently suggesting he should have hit her more so she would have "learned something."

He recently expressed gleefully that his probation would end and he could discuss "his situation" again openly as well as make guest appearances on other broadcasts that he knows or expects will speak negatively about Petitioner. He has repeatedly stated that he was the victim of Petitioner's "bullshit" claim, and that he could have easily won at trial, despite making statements under oath in court that he was guilty of the criminal act. He even went so far as to suggest the [female] prosecutor had an unethical personal animus as a woke feminist and that was how he was convicted.

Petitioner is terrified of his malignant, vindictive, and disgusting campaign against her and her children.

Broadcaster Alex Stein reported after a recent dinner engagement with Respondent that Respondent openly hated Petitioner, calling her manipulative and evil. Seemingly any woman that is in Respondent's way becomes his victim and he is relentless and ruthless.

Finally, Petitioner is fearful that Respondent will turn his repeated abuse upon all of her minor children if the court only orders protection for her.

11. I ask the Court to issue a HRO as follows:

☒ a. Respondent shall not harass:

X me

X minor children for whom I am the parent, legal guardian, or stepparent

☐ an adult ward for whom I am the legal guardian

☒ b. Respondent shall have no contact with X me X the minor children ☐ an adult ward listed in #3 above.

☒ c. Respondent shall stay away from where I/we live (when the Respondent knows or becomes aware of the address):

i. ☐ My address is confidential [the Court cannot order Respondent to stay away from a specific address when the Respondent doesn't know that address]

OR

My home address is: 12091 51<sup>st</sup> St NE, Seattle, WA 98148

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ A reasonable distance or area surrounding my home, specifically as follows: 1 mile. \_\_\_\_\_

Except as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

ii. ☐ The home of \_\_\_\_\_ [protected person(s)].

☐ The address is confidential OR

☐ The home address at: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ A reasonable distance or area surrounding this home, specifically as follows:

Except as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ d. Order the Respondent not to call or enter ☐ Petitioner's ☐ \_\_\_\_\_'s workplace including all land, parking lots and buildings at:

Employer Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Except as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

Is there another workplace? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

Employer Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Except as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ e. Other: A prohibition on direct or indirect mentions of Petitioner, her minor children, this order or any other judicial proceedings involving Petitioner on any Social Media platform. Petitioner recognizes and appreciates the nature of this request, but given the extensively documented use of his Social Media platforms to abuse and harass Petitioner, as well as other

women, his express desire to freely abuse Petitioner when his probation restrictions are lifted, his "many violations" of prior protective orders from the court in an attempt to protect Petitioner, and the ongoing daily ridicule, humiliation, and embarrassment that his over two year campaign has produced for petitioner, full protection should include protecting her in the channels he has used to criminally and civilly offend for years.

## COURT HEARING

Petitioner: Read these Notices about a Hearing.

- You have a right to request a court hearing. **Respondent will be served a copy of this Petition if there is a hearing.**
- If the judicial officer dismisses your case because it has no merit, no hearing will be held.
- The judicial officer can issue a HRO *without* a court hearing if the judicial officer finds there is immediate and present danger of harassment.
- If the judicial officer issues a HRO without a hearing, the Respondent can request a hearing within 20 days of the date the Petition is served. If Respondent requests a hearing, the court will notify you by mail at least five days before the hearing date.
- If there is a hearing, you must attend the hearing and prove that the statements in your Petition are true, and that Respondent's actions are harassment, as defined by Minnesota law.

12. Choose a. or b.

- ☒ a. X I am not requesting a court hearing at this time. But if the court denies my request for a HRO because the court finds there is no immediate and present danger of harassment, then X: I want ☐ I don't want a court hearing.

OR

- ☐ b. I am requesting a court hearing.

13. I request a HRO for a length of:

- ☐ Until the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, which is less than 2 years from today.
- ☐ 2 years

X ☒ Up to 50 years because:

☒ X I have two or more prior restraining orders against Respondent (listed at #6 above should the court determine the protective order as part of the release conditions and protective order as part of probation satisfy the statute.)

☒ X Respondent has violated a prior or existing restraining order between us on two or more occasions (with the same caveat as above and incorporating the Court's finding that the protective order was violated "many times".)

**I understand the court will likely schedule a court hearing for any request over 2 years.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Minn. Stat. § 358.116.

Dated: 6/17/2026

Signature Kayla Pekieta  
Name Kayla Pekieta

County and state where signed kandiyohi, MN

(If you have asked to keep your address and/or phone number confidential, do not include it here.)

Address [REDACTED]

City/State/Zip [REDACTED]

Telephone [REDACTED]

E-mail address:

*Notice: If your address or telephone changes, you must give Court Administration your new information right away, in writing.*

State of Minnesota  
Kandiyohi County

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District  
Court File Number: 34-CV-26-432  
Case Type: Harassment

**Notice of Filing of Order**

File Copy

---

KAYLA CHRISTINE REKIETA vs AARON MICHAEL IMHOLTE

You are notified that on June 26, 2026 the following was filed:

Ex Parte Harassment Restraining Order

Dated: June 26, 2026

Katie Anderson  
Court Administrator  
Kandiyohi County District Court  
505 Becker Avenue SW  
Willmar MN 56201  
(320)231-6206

cc: KAYLA CHRISTINE REKIETA; AARON MICHAEL IMHOLTE

A true and correct copy of this notice has been served pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 77.04.

State of Minnesota  
Kandiyohi County

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District  
Court File Number: 34-CV-26-432  
Case Type: Harassment

**Order Denying Petition for Ex Parte  
Harassment Restraining Order**  
Minn. Stat. § 609.748

Kayla Christine Rekieta Vs Aaron Michael Imholte

**Based upon the Petitioner's Affidavit and Petition for Harassment Restraining Order and other information provided to the Court, The Court Finds:**

1. ☒ The Court reviewed file 73-CR-24-6910, which was referenced in the petition. Respondent is on probation in that file and a condition of probation is "No contact with victim(s). Defendant is prohibited from directly or indirectly contacting, talking about, disparaging, and/or harassing the victim online, on social media, live events, and/or during any online broadcast show that he participates in or has control of." There is over three months remaining on Respondent's probation. Based on this, the Court finds there is not an immediate and present danger of harassment to justify temporary relief. Respondent is subject to significant incarceration if he violates the no contact and privacy conditions of probation.
  - a. ☒ Petitioner requested a court hearing if the court denies the petition for an ex parte harassment restraining order
  - Or
  - b. ☐ Petitioner requested that a court hearing not be scheduled if the court denies the petition for a harassment restraining order.

**It is Ordered:**

1. ☒ **The request for temporary relief is denied** because the petition fails to allege an immediate and present danger of harassment.
  - a. ☒ A hearing shall be scheduled, as requested by petitioner, and all records relating to this matter shall be inaccessible to the public until

this or any other order under Minn. Stat. § 609.748 is served upon the respondent

2. If Respondent's probation closes early, or if the hearing cannot be scheduled before Respondent's probation ends on September 30, 2026, Petitioner may reapply for a temporary order in this case until a hearing can be held.

**By the Court:**



Van Hon, Thomas (Judge)  
2026.06.26 16:13:43  
-05'00'

Judge of District Court

Dated

State of Minnesota  
Kandiyohi County

District Court  
Eighth Judicial District  
Court File Number: 34-CV-26-432  
Case Type: Harassment

**Notice of Filing of Order**

File Copy

---

KAYLA CHRISTINE REKIETA vs AARON MICHAEL IMHOLTE

You are notified that on June 26, 2026 the following was filed:

Order Denying Exparte Order

Dated: June 26, 2026

Katie Anderson  
Court Administrator  
Kandiyohi County District Court  
505 Becker Avenue SW  
Willmar MN 56201  
(320)231-6206

cc: KAYLA CHRISTINE REKIETA; AARON MICHAEL IMHOLTE

A true and correct copy of this notice has been served pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 77.04.



**Stearns County Sheriff's Office**  
**Civil Process Division**  
**807 Courthouse Square**  
**P.O. Box 217**  
**St. Cloud, MN 56302**  
**(320) 259-3720**

**FILE NO. 34-CV-26-432**

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF STEARNS

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 6th day of July, 2026, at 12:05 PM, Aaron Michael Imholte was Personally Served at the address of:

[REDACTED]

County of Stearns, State of Minnesota, I duly served the following upon the party:

Notice of Filing of Order, Notice of Remote Zoom Hearing, Order Denying Petition for Ex Parte Harassment Restraining Order, Petition for Harassment Restraining Order, Important Notice to Respondent, Request for Hearing

=====

Date: July 7, 2026

STEVEN SOYKA, SHERIFF OF STEARNS COUNTY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Craig Pogatschnik".

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Craig Pogatschnik, Deputy Sheriff